

SOLOMON RIVER BASIN TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD

Water Body: Kirwin Lake

Water Quality Impairment: Eutrophication bundled with Dissolved Oxygen


Subbasin:	Upper North Fork Solomon
Counties:	Decatur, Graham, Norton, Phillips, Rooks, Sheridan, and Thomas
HUC 8:	10260011
HUC 11 (HUC 14):	010 (010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070) (Figure 1) 020 (010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070) 030 (010, 020, 030, 040) 040 (010, 020, 030, 040, 050) 050 (010, 020, 030, 040, 050, 060, 070)
Ecoregion:	Western High Plains, Flat to Rolling Cropland (25d) Central Great Plains, Rolling Plains and Breaks (27b)
Drainage Area:	Approximately 1,388 square miles
Conservation Pool:	Area = 4,854 acres Watershed Area: Lake Surface Area = 198:1 Maximum Depth = 15.0 meters (49.2 feet) Mean Depth = 6.1 meters (20 feet) Retention Time = 2.4 years (29 months)
Designated Uses:	Primary and Secondary Contact Recreation, Special Aquatic Life Support, Food Procurement, Irrigation
Authority:	Federal (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service), State (Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks)
2002 303(d) Listing:	Solomon River Basin Lakes
Impaired Use:	All uses are impaired to a degree by eutrophication
Water Quality Standard:	Nutrients - Narrative: The introduction of plant nutrients into streams, lakes, or wetlands from artificial sources shall be controlled to prevent the accelerated succession or replacement of aquatic biota or the production of undesirable quantities or kinds of aquatic life. (KAR 28-16-28e(c)(2)(B)).

The introduction of plant nutrients into surface waters designated for primary or secondary contact recreational use shall be controlled to prevent the development of objectionable concentrations of algae or algal by-products or nuisance growths of submersed, floating, or emergent aquatic vegetation. (KAR 28-16-28e(c)(7)(A)).

Dissolved Oxygen: 5 mg/L (KAR 28-16-28e(c)(2)(A))

Figure 1

Kirwin Lake HUC 14



2. CURRENT WATER QUALITY CONDITION AND DESIRED ENDPOINT

Level of Eutrophication: Very Eutrophic, Trophic State Index = 61.73

Lake Chemistry Monitoring Sites: Station 011001 in Kirwin Lake (Figure 2).

Period of Record Used: Six surveys during 1986 - 2001

Stream Chemistry Monitoring Site: Station 545 near Stockton (Bow Creek)

Period of Record Used: 1990 - 2002

Flow Record: Bow Creek near Stockton (USGS Gage 06871500)


Stream Chemistry Monitoring Site: Station 546 near Glade (North Fork Solomon River)

Period of Record Used: 1990 - 2002

Flow Record: North Fork Solomon River at Glade, KS (USGS Gage 06871000)

Figure 2

Kirwin Lake TMDL Reference Map



Current Condition: Over the period of record, Kirwin Lake had chlorophyll a concentrations averaging $24.0 \mu\text{g/L}$, a Total Phosphorus concentration of $132 \mu\text{g/L}$, a Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen concentration of 1.43 mg/L , and a nitrate concentration of 0.05 mg/L (Appendix A). Light was indicated to be the primary limiting factor due to clay turbidity (Appendix B). Nitrogen was a secondary limiting factor during the 2001 survey. The chlorophyll a to total phosphorus yield was moderate. Based on the load duration curves in Appendix C, the magnitude and duration of the exceedences are greatest during higher flow events suggesting that nonpoint source pollution is the primary contributing factor in the Kirwin Lake watershed.

The Trophic State Index is derived from the chlorophyll a concentration. Trophic state assessments of potential algal productivity were made based on chlorophyll a concentrations, nutrient levels and values of the Carlson Trophic State Index (TSI). Generally, some degree of eutrophic conditions is seen with chlorophyll a concentrations over 7 $\mu\text{g/l}$ and hypereutrophy occurs at levels over 30 $\mu\text{g/l}$. The Carlson TSI, derives from the chlorophyll concentrations and scales the trophic state as follows:

1. Oligotrophic TSI < 40
2. Mesotrophic TSI: 40 - 49.99
3. Slightly Eutrophic TSI: 50 - 54.99
4. Fully Eutrophic TSI: 55 - 59.99
5. Very Eutrophic TSI: 60 - 63.99
6. Hypereutrophic TSI: ≥ 64

Dissolved Oxygen Samples from Kirwin Lake

Date	Depth (meter)	Dissolved Oxygen
7/29/86	0.0	8.8
7/29/86	0.5	8.8
7/29/86	1.0	8.7
7/29/86	2.0	8.0
7/29/86	3.0	7.4
7/29/86	4.0	7.0
7/29/86	5.0	2.6
7/29/86	6.0	1.4
7/29/86	7.0	0.8
7/29/86	7.5	0.3
6/28/89	0.0	9.5
6/28/89	0.5	9.4
6/28/89	1.0	9.2
6/28/89	2.0	8.8
6/28/89	3.0	6.6
6/28/89	4.0	6.6
6/28/89	5.0	6.5
6/28/89	6.0	5.8
6/28/89	7.0	1.6
7/8/92	0.0	9.3
6/6/95	0.0	9.9
7/14/98	0.0	7.3
7/14/98	0.5	7.4
7/14/98	1.0	7.3
7/14/98	2.0	7.2
7/14/98	3.0	6.9
7/14/98	4.0	5.8
7/14/98	5.0	3.4
7/14/98	6.0	1.4
7/14/98	7.0	0.0
7/14/98	8.0	0.0
7/14/98	9.0	0.0
7/14/98	10.0	0.0

7/14/98	11.0	0.0
7/14/98	12.0	0.0
7/14/98	13.0	0.0
7/14/98	14.0	0.0
8/7/01	0.0	7.8
8/7/01	0.5	7.6
8/7/01	1.0	6.9
8/7/01	2.0	5.2
8/7/01	3.0	4.4
8/7/01	4.0	4.0
8/7/01	5.0	3.6
8/7/01	6.0	2.6
8/7/01	7.0	2.5
8/7/01	8.0	2.5
8/7/01	9.0	0.2
8/7/01	10.0	0.2
8/7/01	11.0	0.2
8/7/01	12.0	0.2

Decomposition of plant material has lowered the dissolved oxygen concentrations in the lake. The dissolved oxygen concentrations decreased with increased depth. (See above table). At the surface, the average concentration was 8.8 mg/L, a sufficient amount of dissolved oxygen for aquatic life support. However, near the mean depth of 6 meters, the concentration drops to 2.8 mg/L.

Interim Endpoints of Water Quality (Implied Load Capacity) at Kirwin Lake over 2008 - 2012:

In order to improve the trophic condition of the lake from its current very Eutrophic status, the desired endpoint will be to maintain summer chlorophyll a concentrations below 12 $\mu\text{g/L}$. Achievement of this endpoint should also result in dissolved oxygen concentrations above 5 mg/L.

Total Nitrogen concentration in the lake should be maintained below 0.62 mg/L. A regression of 2000 - 2001 lake data and 1997 - 2000 wetland data was used to determine the current, in-lake nitrogen concentration and to calculate how much of a nutrient reduction was need to meet water quality standards.

Current Condition and Reductions for Kirwin Lake

Parameter	Current Condition	TMDL	Percent Reduction
Total Phosphorus Load (lb/year)	703,011	48,406	93.1 %
Total Phosphorus Concentration ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	132	31	76.5 %
Chlorophyll a ($\mu\text{g/L}$)	24.0	< 12	50.0 %
Total Nitrogen Concentration (mg/L)	1.48	< 0.62	58.1 %
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L) at 3 meters	6.3	> 5.0	0.0 %

3. SOURCE INVENTORY AND ASSESSMENT


NPDES: Five permitted waste treatment facilities are located within the watershed (Figure 3). Four are non-overflowing lagoons that are prohibited from discharging and may contribute a nutrient load under extreme precipitation events (flow durations exceeded under 5 percent of the time). Such events would not occur at a frequency or for a duration sufficient to cause an impairment in the watershed. Below is a list of all of the NPDES facilities.

NPDES Facilities in the Kirwin Watershed

Kansas Permit Number	Facility Name	Type	Design Flow	Wasteload Allocation	
				TP	TN
C-SO22-NO01	HANSEN, DANE G. SCOUT RESERVATION	3-cell Lagoon	non-overflowing	0 lb/day	0 lb/day
M-SO24-NO01	LENORA MWTP	2-cell Lagoon	non-overflowing	0 lb/day	0 lb/day
M-SO25-OO01	LOGAN MWTP	Trickling Filter	0.1 MGD	2.9 lb/day	16.7 lb/day
M-SO34-NO01	REXFORD MWTP	3-cell Lagoon	non-overflowing	0 lb/day	0 lb/day
M-SO36-NO01	SELDEN MWTP	3-cell Lagoon	non-overflowing	0 lb/day	0 lb/day

Figure 3

Kirwin Lake NPDES Sites



The point source contribution is derived from monitoring data from the waste treatment plants and other point source pollution contributors. When effluent discharge data is not available, the following concentrations are used to calculate the waste load allocations for waste treatment plant lagoons and municipal mechanical plants:


Average Concentration in Municipal Facilities that Meet Baseline Design

Facility Type	Total Phosphorus	Total Nitrogen
Waste Treatment Plant Lagoon	2.0 mg/L	7.0 mg/L
Mechanical Plant – Trickling Filter	3.5 mg/L	20.0 mg/L
Mechanical Plant – Activated Sludge only fully nitrify	3.5 mg/L	25.0 mg/L
Mechanical Plant – Activated Sludge fully nitrify and de-nitrify	3.5 mg/L	10.0 mg/L

Currently, the Logan Municipal Waste Treatment Plant is non-discharging. Considering the design of the facility, it has the potential to contribute to the nutrient load. Based on the design flow and the potential total phosphorus loading from the lagoon, the total phosphorus load is 1,067 pounds per year. The total nitrogen load is 6,096 pounds per year.

Figure 4

Kirwin Lake Land Use




Land Use: The watershed around Kirwin Lake has a high potential for nonpoint source pollutants. An annual phosphorus load of 703,011 pounds per year is necessary to correspond to the concentrations seen in the lake.

One source of phosphorus and nitrogen within Kirwin Lake is probably runoff from agricultural lands where phosphorus and nitrogen have been applied. Land use coverage analysis indicates that 65.6% of the watershed is cropland (Figure 4).

Figure 5

Kirwin Lake Livestock Waste Management Sites



Phosphorus and nitrogen from animal waste are a potential contributing factor. Animal waste, from livestock waste management systems, may add to the phosphorus and nitrogen loads going into the lake (Figure 5). However, given the controls for the systems, animal waste coming from grazing areas is a more likely contributor. Thirty-three percent of land around the lake is grassland. There are 14 beef, five swine, and three dairy animal feeding operations in the

watershed. Six of these facilities are NPDES permitted, non-discharging facilities with 75,056 animal units. All permitted livestock facilities have waste management systems designed to minimize runoff entering their operations or detaining runoff emanating from their areas. Such systems are designed to retain the 25 year, 24 hour rainfall/runoff event, as well as an anticipated two weeks of normal wastewater from their operations. Such a rainfall event typically coincides with stream flows which are exceeded 1-5 percent of the time. Therefore, events of this type, infrequent and of short duration, are not likely to add to chronic impairment of the designated uses of the waters in this watershed. Requirements for maintaining the water level of the waste lagoons a certain distance below the lagoon berms ensure retention of the runoff from the intense, local storms events. In Phillips County, where the lake is located, such an event would generate 4.8 inches of rain, yielding 3.7 to 4.5 inches of runoff in a day. Permit compliance data was examined. Potential animal units for all facilities in the watershed total 82,192 (active: 81,492 animal units; inactive: 700 animal units). The actual number of animal units on site is variable, but typically less than potential numbers.

Permitted Livestock Waste Management Systems in the Watershed

Kansas Permit Number	Livestock Waste Management System	Wasteload Allocation TN and TP
A-SOSD-C002	* Hoxie Feedyard, Inc.	0 lb/day
A-SONT-H001	Renner, Craig	0 lb/day
A-SOTH-C003	* Thomas County Feeders, Inc.	0 lb/day
A-SOTH-C006	* Vaughn Feedlot	0 lb/day
A-SOTH-D001	Mccarty Dairy	0 lb/day
A-SOTH-C001	* H & H Farms, Inc.	0 lb/day

* The facility is not in compliance with the existing permit. Corrective actions are being taken by the KDHE Livestock Management Program.

Most of the towns in the watershed anticipate a population decline or no growth except for Colby. Less than one percent of the watershed is urban; stormwater runoff and urban fertilizer applications are a minor contributing factor. The average population density in the watershed is 3.7 people per square mile.

Population Trends for Towns in the Watershed

Town	% Change (2000 to 2020)
Colby	+2.6%
Edmond	-18.2%
Gem	-3.8%
Glade	0.0%
Lenora	-19.0%
Logan	-19.1%
Rexford	0.0%
Speed	-7.8%

A potential source is septic systems located around the lake. Failing septic systems can be a significant source of nutrients. The Phillips County has 968 septic systems, accounting for 30% of the sewage systems present in the county.

Contributing Runoff: The watershed's average soil permeability is 1.5 inches/hour according to NRCS STATSGO database. About 79.5% of the watershed produces runoff even under relatively low (1.5"/hr) potential runoff conditions. Runoff is chiefly generated as infiltration excess with rainfall intensities greater than soil permeabilities. As the watersheds' soil profiles become saturated, excess overland flow is produced. Generally, storms producing less than 0.5"/hr of rain will generate runoff from 4.6% of this watershed, chiefly along the stream channels.

Background Levels: The atmospheric phosphorus and geological formations (i.e., soil and bedrock) may contribute to phosphorus loads. Nutrients from wildlife waste are another contributing factor.

4. ALLOCATION OF POLLUTANT REDUCTION RESPONSIBILITY

While light is the limiting factor in Kirwin Lake, Total Phosphorus is allocated under this TMDL, because a phosphorus reduction will have a large effect on the managing the algal community. The Load Capacity is 48,406 pounds per year of phosphorus and was calculated using the CNET model. More detailed assessment of sources and confirmation of the trophic state of the lake must be completed before detailed allocations can be made. The general inventory of sources within the drainage does provide some guidance as to areas of load reduction. Because of atmospheric deposition, initial allocations of nitrogen will be based on a proportional decrease in nitrogen between the current condition and the desired endpoint.

Point Sources: Based on the current permit, the Logan Waste Treatment Plant can potentially contribute nutrients to the watershed. Ongoing inspections and monitoring of all of the NPDES sites will be made to ascertain the contributions that have been made by the source. These Waste Treatment Plants should comply with any future permit limits. The Wasteload Allocation for Logan MWTP should be at 1,067 pounds of total phosphorus per year and 6,096 pounds per year of total nitrogen. As previously noted in the inventory and assessment section, sources such as non-discharging permitted municipal facilities and livestock waste management systems located within the watershed do not discharge with sufficient frequency or duration to add to an impairment in the lake.

Nonpoint Sources: Water quality violations are partially due to nonpoint source pollutants. Background levels may be attributed to atmospheric deposition and geological sources. The assessment suggests that cropland and animal waste contribute to the elevated total phosphorus concentrations in the lake. Generally a Load Allocation of 42,498 pounds of total phosphorus per year, leading to a 93% reduction, is necessary to reach the endpoint. A proportional decrease of 52% in nitrogen loading will allow the total nitrogen endpoint to be achieved.

Defined Margin of Safety: The margin of safety provides some hedge against the uncertainty of variable annual total phosphorus load and the chlorophyll a endpoint. Therefore, the margin of safety will be 4,841 pounds per year of total phosphorus, 10% of the load capacity, taken from the load capacity subtracted to compensate for the lack of knowledge about the relationship between the allocated loadings and the resulting water quality. For nitrogen, the margin of safety will be an additional 6% reduction in nitrogen to ensure that the endpoint is reached.

State Water Plan Implementation Priority: Because Kirwin Lake has a large regional benefit for recreation, this TMDL will be a Medium Priority for implementation.

Unified Watershed Assessment Priority Ranking: Kirwin Lake lies within the Upper North Fork Solomon (HUC 8: 10260011) which is in Category II (Watersheds Meeting Goals, Including Those Needing Action to Sustain Water Quality).

Priority HUC 11s: The HUC 11 (040 and 050) are adjacent to Kirwin Lake, and thus their subwatershed should take priority.

5. IMPLEMENTATION

Desired Implementation Activities

There is a very good potential that agricultural best management practices will allow full use support to take place in Kirwin Lake. Some of the recommended agricultural practices are as follows:

1. Implement soil sampling to recommend appropriate fertilizer applications on cropland.
2. Maintain conservation tillage and contour farming to minimize cropland erosion.
3. Install grass buffer strips along streams.
4. Reduce activities within riparian areas.
5. Implement nutrient management plans to manage manure application to land.

Implementation Programs Guidance

Fisheries Management - KDWP

- a. Assist evaluation in-lake or near-lake potential sources of nutrients to lake.
- b. Advise county on applicable lake management techniques which may reduce nutrient loading and cycling in lake.

Nonpoint Source Pollution Technical Assistance - KDHE

- a. Support Section 319 demonstration projects for reduction of sediment runoff from agricultural activities as well as nutrient management
- b. Provide technical assistance on practices geared to establishment of vegetative buffer strips.
- c. Provide technical assistance on nutrient management in vicinity of streams.

- d. Update and implement nutrient and sediment abatement strategies.
- e. Develop a Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategy for HUC 10260011.

Livestock Waste Management - KDHE

- a. Take corrective actions to ensure that facilities comply with existing permits.

Water Resource Cost Share Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Program - SCC

- a. Apply conservation farming practices, including terraces and waterways, sediment control basins, and constructed wetlands.
- b. Provide sediment control practices to minimize erosion and sediment and nutrient transport.

Riparian Protection Program - SCC

- a. Establish or reestablish natural riparian systems, including vegetative filter strips and streambank vegetation.
- b. Develop riparian restoration projects.
- c. Promote wetland construction to assimilate nutrient loadings.

Buffer Initiative Program - SCC

- a. Install grass buffer strips near streams.
- b. Leverage Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program to hold riparian land out of production.

Extension Outreach and Technical Assistance - Kansas State University

- a. Continue to educate residents and landowners about nonpoint source pollution.
- b. Educate agricultural producers on sediment, nutrient, and pasture management.
- c. Educate livestock producers on livestock waste management and manure applications and nutrient management planning.
- d. Provide technical assistance on livestock waste management systems and nutrient management plans.
- e. Provide technical assistance on buffer strip design and minimizing cropland runoff.
- f. Encourage annual soil testing to determine capacity of field to hold phosphorus.

Time Frame for Implementation: Water quality improvement activities are encouraged at the local level prior to 2008. Funding for installing lake pollution reduction practices should be allocated within the lake drainage after the year 2008. Evaluation of nutrient sources to lake and identification of potential management techniques should occur prior to 2008.

Targeted Participants: Primary participants for implementation will be agricultural producers within the drainage of the lake. Initial work in 2008 should include local assessments by conservation district personnel and county extension agents to locate within the lake drainage:

1. Total row crop acreage
2. Cultivation alongside lake
3. Drainage alongside or through animal feeding lots

4. Livestock use of riparian areas
5. Fields with manure applications

Milestone for 2008: The year 2008 marks the midpoint of the ten-year implementation window for the watershed. At that point in time, sampled data from Kirwin Lake should indicate probable sources of nutrients and plans in place to initiate implementation.

Delivery Agents: The primary delivery agents for program participation will be the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks. Producer outreach and awareness will be delivered by Kansas State Extension.

Reasonable Assurances:

Authorities: The following authorities may be used to direct activities in the watershed to reduce pollutants.

1. K.S.A. 65-171d empowers the Secretary of KDHE to prevent water pollution and to protect the beneficial uses of the waters of the state through required treatment of sewage and established water quality standards and to require permits by persons having a potential to discharge pollutants into the waters of the state.
2. K.S.A. 2-1915 empowers the State Conservation Commission to develop programs to assist the protection, conservation and management of soil and water resources in the state, including riparian areas.
3. K.S.A. 75-5657 empowers the State Conservation Commission to provide financial assistance for local project work plans developed to control nonpoint source pollution.
4. K.S.A. 82a-901, et seq. empowers the Kansas Water Office to develop a state water plan directing the protection and maintenance of surface water quality for the waters of the state.
5. K.S.A. 82a-951 creates the State Water Plan Fund to finance the implementation of the *Kansas Water Plan*.
6. The *Kansas Water Plan* and the Solomon Basin Plan provide the guidance to state agencies to coordinate programs intent on protecting water quality and to target those programs to geographic areas of the state for high priority in implementation.

Funding: The State Water Plan Fund annually generates \$16-18 million and is the primary funding mechanism for implementing water quality protection and pollutant reduction activities in the state through the *Kansas Water Plan*. The state water planning process, overseen by the Kansas Water Office, coordinates and directs programs and funding toward watersheds and water resources of highest priority. Typically, the state allocates at least 50% of the fund to programs supporting water quality protection. This watershed and its TMDL are a Medium Priority consideration.

Effectiveness: Nutrient control has been proven effective through conservation tillage, contour farming and use of grass waterways and buffer strips. The key to success will be widespread utilization of conservation farming and installation of buffer strips within the watersheds cited in this TMDL.

6. MONITORING

Additional data, to further determine source loading and mean summer lake trophic condition, would be of value prior to 2008. Further sampling and evaluation should occur once before 2008 and once between 2008 and 2012.

7. FEEDBACK

Public Meetings: Public meetings to discuss TMDLs in the Solomon Basin were held January 7 and March 3, 2003 in Stockton. An active Internet Web site was established at <http://www.kdhe.state.ks.us/tmdl/> to convey information to the public on the general establishment of TMDLs and specific TMDLs for the Solomon Basin.

Public Hearing: A Public Hearing on the TMDLs of the Solomon Basin was held in Stockton on June 2, 2003.

Basin Advisory Committee: The Solomon Basin Advisory Committee met to discuss the TMDLs in the basin on October 3, 2002, January 7, March 3, and June 2, 2003.

Milestone Evaluation: In 2008, evaluation will be made as to the degree of implementation which has occurred within the watershed and current condition of Kirwin Lake. Subsequent decisions will be made regarding the implementation approach and follow up of additional implementation in the watershed.


Consideration for 303(d) Delisting: The lake will be evaluated for delisting under Section 303(d), based on the monitoring data over the period 2008-2012. Therefore, the decision for delisting will come about in the preparation of the 2012 303(d) list. Should modifications be made to the applicable water quality criteria during the ten-year implementation period, consideration for delisting, desired endpoints of this TMDL and implementation activities may be adjusted accordingly.

Incorporation into Continuing Planning Process, Water Quality Management Plan and the Kansas Water Planning Process: Under the current version of the Continuing Planning Process, the next anticipated revision will come in 2004 which will emphasize revision of the Water Quality Management Plan. At that time, incorporation of this TMDL will be made into both documents. Recommendations of this TMDL will be considered in *Kansas Water Plan* implementation decisions under the State Water Planning Process for Fiscal Years 2004-2008.



Bibliography

Liscek, Bonnie C. Methodology Used in Kansas Lake TMDLs [web page] Jul. 2001;
<http://www.kdhe.state.ks.us/tmdl/eutro.htm> [Accessed 30 September 2002].

Appendix A - Boxplots







Appendix B - Trophic State Index Plots



The Trophic State Index plots indicate that light is the primary limiting factor, due to clay turbidity. This is inferred by examining the relationship between the TSI(SD) - TSI(Chl) and TSI(TP)-TSI(Chl). The deviation of chlorophyll from the sediment load indicates the degree of light penetration, while the difference between chlorophyll and phosphorus indicates the level of phosphorus limitation. Therefore, if the final plot is in the first quadrant, it shows that the transparency of the water is impaired due to the presence of small particles, and that phosphorus does not limit algae growth. The relationship between the TSI(SD) - TSI(Chl) and TSI(TN)-TSI(Chl) indicates that nitrogen may be a secondary limiting factor.

Appendix C - Load Duration Curves





Appendix D - Input for CNET Model

Parameter	Value Input into CNET Model
Drainage Area (km ²)	3881.4
Precipitation (m/yr)	0.58
Evaporation (m/yr)	1.48
Unit Runoff (m/yr)	0.02
Surface Area (km ²)	19.6
Mean Depth (m)	6.1
Depth of Mixed Layer (m)	5.2
Depth of Hypolimnion (m)	1.7
Observed Phosphorus (ppb)	131.7
Observed Chlorophyll-a (ppb)	24.0
Observed Secchi Disc Depth (m)	1.2

Output from CNET Model

Parameter	Output from CNET Model
Load Capacity (LC)*	48,406 lb/yr
Waste Load Allocation (WLA)	1,067 lb/yr
Load Allocation (LA)	42,498 lb/yr
Margin of Safety (MOS)	4,841 lb/yr

*LC = WLA + LA + MOS

Approved Sep. 30, 2003